

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT
APPLICATION

Inventor(s): DYMECKI
 Appln. No.: 08 | 866,279
 Series Code ↑ | Serial No. ↑
 Filed: May 30, 1997
 Title: Use of Flp Recombinase in Mice

Group Art Unit 1632
 Examiner: A.-M. Baker
 Atty. Dkt. PMS 234805

(Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975)

(Our Order No. 20263 | 234805
 C# | M#

Date: March 17, 1999

Asst. Commissioner of Patents
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

LETTER

This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

FEE REQUIREMENTS FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

1. "Small Entity" statement(s) filed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> previously <input type="checkbox"/> herewith (No.)	Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for	Present Extra	Large/Small Entity	Additional Fee	Fee Code
2. Total Effective Claims	49	**minus 49	0	x \$18/\$9 =	+ 0	103/203
3. Independent Claims	4	***minus 4	0	x \$78/\$39 =	+ 0	102/202
4. If amendment enters proper multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for first time (leave blank if this is a reissue application).....add				+ \$260/\$130 =	+	104/204
5. Original due Date:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE					
6. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due date to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is attached	(1 mo)	\$110/\$55 =				115/215
	(2 mos)	\$380/\$190 =		+ 0		116/216
	(3 mos)	\$870/\$435 =				117/217
7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract	-					
8.	Extension Fee Attached					+ 0
9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee	+ \$110/\$55 =					+ 0
10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee,add	+ \$240 =					126
or if Rule 97(d) Petitionadd	+ \$130 =					122
11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r)	+ \$760/380 =					+ 0
12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b)	x \$760/380 ea =					+ 0
13. Petition fee for						+
14.	TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED =					\$0

15. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0".

16. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

17. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown in the heading hereof, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
 Intellectual Property Group

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
 Ninth Floor East Tower
 Washington, D.C. 20005-3918
 Tel: (202) 861-3000
 Atty/Sec: PNK/GRT

By Atty: Paul N. Kokulis
 for
 Sig: *Sam Sanjivani*
 Reg NO 43, 180

Reg. No. 16,773

Fax: (202) 822-0944
 Tel: (202) 861-3503

NOTE: File this cover sheet in duplicate with PTO receipt (PAT-103A) and attachments

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION OF

DYMECKI



Appln. No. 08/866,279

Group Art Unit: 1632

Filed: May 30, 1997

Examiner: A.-M. Baker

FOR: USE OF FLP RECOMBINASE IN MICE

* * *

March 17, 1999

LETTER

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
TECH CENTER 1500/2900
99 MAR 18 AM 11:44
GROUP 180

Sir:

In response to a request from the Examiner, a copy of applicant's Response filed January 14, 1999 was sent by facsimile transmission on March 15, 1999. The copy was provided because the original has not yet been matched to the application file, and may be lost in the Patent Office.

It is applicant's understanding that the Information Disclosure Statement filed February 3, 1999 has been received by the Examiner. That Information Disclosure Statement lists references submitted for the Examiner's consideration on January 14, 1999. Therefore, if the references listed on the form PTO-1449 have not placed in the application file, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned so that additional copies of the references may be provided.

On March 16, 1999, the Examiner contacted the undersigned to request clarification about the claim amendments discussed

in the fourth paragraph of the Response filed January 14, 1999. Unfortunately, that paragraph and the succeeding paragraph were mistakenly included in the Response. This paper is being submitted to clarify the record. Applicant did not amend the claims in the Response filed January 14, 1999. Furthermore, an initialed form PTO-1449 from the Information Disclosure Statement filed May 20, 1998 was received with the Office Action mailed September 14, 1998.

The prompt notice given by the Examiner is appreciated. Moreover, any inconvenience to the Examiner caused by the inclusion of the two paragraphs discussed above is regretted.

Respectfully submitted,

Cushman Darby & Cushman
Intellectual Property Group of
PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO, L.L.P.

By Sany Sanigawa Reg. No. 43,180
for Paul N. Kokulis
Reg. No. 16,773
Telephone: (202) 861-3503
Facsimile: (202) 822-0944

PNK/GRT
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Ninth Floor, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005-3918
Telephone: (202) 861-3000